## Injectivity of the Laplace transform

## Erik Wahlén

The goal of this short note is to give a simple proof of the injectivity of the Laplace transform. We begin with two lemmas.

**Lemma 1** (Weierstrass Approximation Theorem). Let  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a real polynomial p such that  $\max_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x) - p(x)| < \varepsilon$ .

We will not prove this theorem here. There are many different ways to prove it. For a relatively self-contained proof, see e.g. http://www.math.sc.edu/~schep/weierstrass.pdf.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. If

$$\int_{a}^{b} x^{n} f(x) \, dx = 0$$

for any integer  $n \ge 0$ , then  $f(x) \equiv 0$ .

*Proof.* From the above assumption, it follows that

$$\int_{a}^{b} p(x)f(x) \, dx = 0$$

for any polynomial p. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find a polynomial p such that  $\max_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x) - p(x)| < \varepsilon$  by Lemma 1. Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{a}^{b} f^{2}(x) \, dx &= \int_{a}^{b} (f(x) - p(x)) f(x) \, dx + \underbrace{\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) \, dx}_{=0} \\ &\leq \int_{a}^{b} |f(x) - p(x)| |f(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon (b-a) \max_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|. \end{split}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, this means that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^2(x) \, dx = 0.$$

Hence,  $f(x) \equiv 0$  since f is continuous (otherwise we could find some interval (c, d), a < c < d < b, where  $f^2(x) > \frac{1}{2} \max_{x \in [a,b]} f^2(x) > 0$ , and the integral would be greater than  $\frac{d-c}{2} \max_{x \in [a,b]} f^2(x) > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** Assume that  $f, g: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and of exponential order. If L[f] = L[g], then f = g.

*Proof.* Set u = f - g. Then L[u] = 0 and we need to show that u = 0. Note that u is of exponential order,  $|u(x)| \leq Me^{cx}$  for some  $M, c \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-px} u(x) \, dx = 0, \qquad p > c.$$

Fix b > c, take p = b + n + 1, n = 0, 1, 2, ..., and make the change of variable  $y = e^{-x}$   $(x = -\ln y, dy = -e^{-x} dx)$ . Then

$$0 = \int_0^\infty e^{-px} u(x) dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{-bx} e^{-nx} e^{-x} u(x) dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_0^1 y^b y^n u(-\ln y) dy$$
  
= 
$$\int_0^1 y^n v(y) dy,$$

where  $v(y) = y^b u(-\ln y)$ . v is clearly continuous on (0,1] (note that  $\ln 1 = 0$ ). Setting v(0) = 0 it follows that v is also continuous at 0, since

$$|v(y)| = |y^b u(-\ln y)| = e^{-bx} |u(x)| \le M e^{-(b-c)x} \to 0 \text{ as } y \to 0$$

(note that  $x = -\ln y \to \infty$  as  $y \to 0$ ). It now follows from Lemma 2 that  $v(y) \equiv 0$  on [0, 1]. Hence,  $u(x) \equiv 0$  on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Remark.** By splitting into real and imaginary parts, it is not difficult to see that the result holds also for complex-valued functions.

**Remark.** The theorem can also be proved by completely different methods, e.g. complex analysis or Fourier integrals. Using these methods one can also find explicit inversion formulas.