

# A Krull-type theorem for the semistar integral closure of an integral domain

Marco Fontana

Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università degli Studi, Roma Tre  
Italy

fontana@mat.uniroma3.it

K. Alan Loper

Department of Mathematics  
Ohio State University  
USA

lopera@math.ohio-state.edu

## Abstract

The goal of this paper is to further investigate the structure of the Kronecker function ring  $\text{Kr}(D, \star)$  constructed in [4] when  $\star$  is a semistar operation, pursuing the work initiated by Halter-Koch [7]. In particular, we investigate the set of valuation overrings of  $\text{Kr}(D, \star)$  and the contractions of these valuation domains to  $K$ , the quotient field of  $D$ .

# 1 Introduction

One of the primary applications of Krull's theory of ideal systems and star operations is to construct the Kronecker function rings, in a more general context than the original one considered by L. Kronecker in 1882 [9] (see [2] for a modern presentation of Kronecker's theory). There are some restrictions in Krull's theory. In particular, the Kronecker function ring of an integral domain  $D$  with respect to a star operation is constructed only in the case where the star operation  $\star$  being considered has a particular "cancellation property" known as *e.a.b.* (*endlich arithmetisch brauchbar*) and  $D$  is integrally closed [5, Section 32]. In [4] we presented a generalization of these results by considering the more general notion of *semistar operations*, introduced by Okabe and Matsuda [12], and making use of some recent results of Halter-Koch on the notion of an abstract Kronecker function ring [7]. The main result was a natural construction of a Kronecker function ring  $\text{Kr}(D, \star)$  corresponding to an arbitrary integral domain  $D$  and an arbitrary semistar operation  $\star$  on  $D$ .

The goal of this paper is to further investigate the structure of the Kronecker function ring  $\text{Kr}(D, \star)$  constructed in [4] when  $\star$  is a semistar operation, pursuing the work by Halter-Koch [7]. In particular, we investigate the set of valuation overrings of  $\text{Kr}(D, \star)$  and the contractions of these valuation domains to  $K$ , the quotient field of  $D$ . We start by defining the notion of a  $\star$ -valuation overring of  $D$ , when  $\star$  is a semistar operation. Our main results then show that:

- the valuation overrings of  $\text{Kr}(D, \star)$  are precisely the trivial extensions of the  $\star$ -valuation overrings of  $D$  to  $K(X)$ ;
- the intersection of the  $\star$ -valuation overrings of  $D$  is equal to the domain  $D^{[\star]}$  which was introduced by Okabe and Matsuda in [12] as a  $\star$ -integral closure of  $D$ .

In the second section we give some relevant definitions and background results concerning semistar operations and Kronecker function rings. The third section contains new results concerning the  $\star$ -valuation overrings.

## 2 Background Results

Let  $D$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ . Let  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}(D)$  denote the set of all nonzero  $D$ -submodules of  $K$  and let  $\mathbf{F}(D)$  be the set of all nonzero fractional ideals of  $D$ , i.e. all  $E \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D)$  such that there exists a nonzero  $d \in D$  with  $dE \subseteq D$ . Let  $\mathbf{f}(D)$  be the set of all nonzero finitely generated  $D$ -submodules of  $K$ . Then, obviously:

$$\mathbf{f}(D) \subseteq \mathbf{F}(D) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D).$$

We recall that a mapping

$$\star : \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D), \quad E \mapsto E^\star$$

is called a *semistar operation on  $D$*  if, for all  $x \in K, x \neq 0$ , and  $E, F \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D)$ , the following properties hold:

- ( $\star_1$ )  $(xE)^\star = xE^\star$ ;
- ( $\star_2$ )  $E \subseteq F \Rightarrow E^\star \subseteq F^\star$
- ( $\star_3$ )  $E \subseteq E^\star$  and  $E^\star = (E^\star)^\star =: E^{\star\star}$

cf. for instance [11], [3] and [4].

A semistar operation  $\star$  on  $D$  is called an *e.a.b. (endlich arithmetisch brauchbar)* [respectively, *a.b. (arithmetisch brauchbar)*] if for each  $E \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  and for all  $F, G \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  [respectively,  $F, G \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D)$ ]:

$$(EF)^\star \subseteq (EG)^\star \Rightarrow F^\star \subseteq G^\star,$$

[4, Definition 2.3 and Lemma 2.7].

A key element in [4] and in the current paper are several new semistar operations which can be derived from a given semistar operation  $\star$ . The essential details are given in the following example.

**Example 2.1 (1)** If  $\star$  is a semistar operation on an integral domain  $D$ , for each  $E \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D)$ , set

$$E^{\star_f} := \bigcup \{F^\star \mid F \subseteq E, F \in \mathbf{f}(D)\}.$$

Then  $\star_f$  is also a semistar operation on  $D$ , called *the semistar operation of finite type associated to  $\star$* . Obviously,  $F^\star = F^{\star_f}$ , for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ . If  $\star = \star_f$ , then  $\star$  is called a *semistar operation of finite type* [4, Example 2.5(4)].

Note that  $\star_f \leq \star$ , i.e.  $E^{\star_f} \subseteq E^\star$  for each  $E \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D)$ . Thus, in particular, if  $E = E^\star$ , then  $E = E^{\star_f}$ .

(2) Let  $D$  be an integral domain and let  $\star$  be a semistar operation on  $D$ . Then we define a new operation on  $D$ , denoted by  $[\star]$ , called *the semistar integral closure of  $\star$* , by setting:

$$F^{[\star]} := \bigcup \{((H^\star : H^\star)F)^{\star_f} \mid H \in \mathbf{f}(D)\}, \text{ for each } F \in \mathbf{f}(D),$$

and

$$E^{[\star]} := \bigcup \{F^{[\star]} \mid F \in \mathbf{f}(D), F \subseteq E\}, \text{ for each } E \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D).$$

It is not difficult to see that the operation  $[\star]$  defined in this manner is a semistar operation of finite type on  $D$ , that  $\star_f \leq [\star]$  and that  $D^{[\star]}$  is integrally closed, called *the semistar integral closure of  $D$  with respect to  $\star$* , [4, Definition 4.2, Proposition 4.3(1) and Proposition 4.5(3)].

(3) Let  $\star$  be a semistar operation on an integral domain  $D$ . Then it is possible to associate to  $\star$  an e.a.b. semistar operation of finite type  $\star_a$  on  $D$ , called *the e.a.b. semistar operation associated to  $\star$* , defined as follows:

$$F^{\star_a} := \bigcup \{((FH)^\star : H^\star) \mid H \in \mathbf{f}(D)\}, \text{ for each } F \in \mathbf{f}(D),$$

and

$$E^{\star_a} := \bigcup \{F^{\star_a} \mid F \subseteq E, F \in \mathbf{f}(D)\}, \text{ for each } E \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D),$$

[4, Definition 4.4]. Note that  $[\star] \leq \star_a$  and that  $D^{[\star]} = D^{\star_a}$  [4, Proposition 4.5].

Regarding Kronecker function rings, if  $\star$  is a semistar operation on  $D$ , then in [4, Section 5] we defined *the Kronecker function ring of  $D$  with respect to  $\star$*  as follows:

$$\text{Kr}(D, \star) := \{f/g \mid f, g \in D[X] - \{0\} \text{ and there exists } h \in D[X] - \{0\} \text{ such that } (c(f)c(h))^\star \subseteq (c(g)c(h))^\star\} \cup \{0\}.$$

In [4] we proved, among other properties, the following:

**Proposition 2.2** *Let  $\star$  be a semistar operation on an integral domain  $D$  with quotient field  $K$ . Then*

1.  $\text{Kr}(D, \star) = \text{Kr}(D, [\star]) = \text{Kr}(D, [\star]_a) = \text{Kr}(D, \star_a)$ ;

2.  $\text{Kr}(D, \star)$  is a Bezout domain with quotient field  $K(X)$ ;
3. for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ ,

$$F^{\star a} = F\text{Kr}(D, \star) \cap K.$$

**Proof:** [4, Theorem 5.1 and proof of Corollary 5.2]. □

### 3 Main Results

Let  $\star$  be a semistar operation on  $D$  and let  $V$  be a valuation overring of  $D$ . We say that  $V$  is a  $\star$ -overring of  $D$ , if for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ ,

$$F^\star \subseteq FV$$

(or equivalently,  $\star_f \leq \star_{\{V\}}$ , where  $\star_{\{V\}}$  is the semistar operation of finite type on  $D$  defined by

$$E^{\star_{\{V\}}} := EV = \bigcup \{FV \mid F \subseteq E, F \in \mathbf{f}(D)\}$$

for each  $E \in \overline{\mathbf{F}}(D)$  [4, Example 2.5(1) and Example 3.6]).

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $\star$  be a semistar operation on an integral domain  $D$ , let  $V$  be a valuation overring of  $D$  and let  $v$  be the valuation corresponding to  $V$ . Then  $V$  is a  $\star$ -valuation overring of  $D$  if and only if, for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ , there exists  $x \in F$  with*

$$v(x) = \inf\{v(y) \mid y \in F^\star\}.$$

**Proof:** If  $V$  is a  $\star$ -valuation overring of  $D$ , then  $F^\star \subseteq FV = xV$ , for some  $x \in F$ , hence  $v(x) \leq v(y)$ , for all  $y \in F^\star$ . Conversely if  $x \in F$  and  $v(x) \leq v(y)$ , for all  $y \in F^\star$ , then, in particular,  $xV = FV$  and, hence,  $F^\star \subseteq FV$ . □

The previous result shows that, when  $\star$  is a semistar operation,  $V$  is a  $\star$ -overring if and only if the valuation  $v$  is a  $\star$ -valuation in Jaffard's sense [8, p. 48].

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $\star$  be a semistar operation on an integral domain  $D$  and let  $[\star]$  be the semistar integral closure of  $\star$  (cf. Example 2.1(2)).*

1. *For each  $\star$ -valuation overring  $V$  of  $D$ , we have  $D^{[\star]} \subseteq V$ .*
2. *Let  $V$  be a valuation overring of  $D$ . Then  $V$  is a  $\star$ -valuation overring of  $D$  if and only if  $V$  is a  $[\star]$ -overring of  $D$ .*

**Proof:** 1. If  $y \in D^{[\star]}$ , then  $yJ \subseteq J^\star$  for some  $J \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ . Let  $V$  be a valuation overring of  $D$  and let  $x \in J$  such that  $JV = xV$ . Since  $J^\star \subseteq JV$ , then  $J^\star V = JV$  and:

$$yxV = yJV \subseteq J^\star V = JV = xV$$

We conclude that  $y \in V$ .

2. Let  $V$  be a  $\star$ -valuation overring of  $D$ . Note that, for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  and for each  $H \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ :

$$(F(H^\star : H^\star))^{\star_f} \subseteq F(H^\star : H^\star)V \subseteq FD^{[\star]}V = FV$$

thus  $F^{[\star]} \subseteq FV$ .

Conversely, if  $V$  is a  $[\star]$ -valuation overring of  $D$ , since  $F^\star \subseteq F^{[\star]}$  and  $F^{[\star]} \subseteq FV$ , for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ , the conclusion is obvious.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $\star$  be a semistar operation of an integral domain  $D$  and let  $V$  be a valuation overring of  $D$ . Then  $V$  is a  $\star$ -valuation overring of  $D$  if and only if  $V$  is a  $\star_a$ -valuation overring of  $D$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $\star_f \leq \star_a$  [4, Proposition 4.5(3)], it is obvious that, if  $V$  is a  $\star_a$ -valuation overring of  $D$ , then  $F^\star \subseteq F^{\star_a} \subseteq FV$ , for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ .

Conversely, for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  and for each  $H \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  we can consider  $((FH)^\star : H^\star)$ . Let  $z \in H$  be such that  $HV = zV$ , where  $V$  is a  $\star$ -valuation overring of  $D$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} ((FH)^\star : H^\star) &= ((FH)^\star : H) \subseteq (FHV : H) \subseteq \\ &\subseteq (FzV : zD) = (FV : D) = FV, \end{aligned}$$

thus  $F^{\star_a} \subseteq FV$ .

□

Note that the previous proposition is analogous to a result proved by Jaffard in [8, Proposition 5, p. 48].

The following result is implicitly proved, but not explicitly stated in [4].

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $\star$  be an e.a.b. semistar operation of finite type on an integral domain  $D$  with quotient field  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{W} := \{W \mid W \text{ is a valuation overring of } \text{Kr}(D, \star)\}$  and let  $\mathcal{V} := \{V := W \cap K \mid W \in \mathcal{W}\}$ . Then, for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ ,*

$$F^\star = F^{\star\mathcal{V}} := \bigcap \{FV \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

**Proof:** By [4, Proposition 4.5(3)], since  $\star = \star_f$  we have:

$$\star \leq [\star] \leq \star_a,$$

hence, also  $[\star] \leq [[\star]] \leq [\star]_a \leq (\star_a)_a = \star_a$  [4, Proposition 4.5(8)]. Since  $\star = \star_a$  [4, Proposition 4.5(5)], then  $\star = [\star]_a$ . We recall that the semistar operation  $\star_{\mathcal{V}}$  of  $D$  defined as follows:

$$E^{\star_{\mathcal{V}}} := \bigcap \{EV \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\}$$

is an a.b. semistar operation on  $D$  and

$$\text{Kr}(D, \star_{\mathcal{V}}) = \bigcap \{V(X) \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\} = \bigcap \{W \mid W \in \mathcal{W}\} = \text{Kr}(D, \star)$$

[4, Proposition 3.7 and Corollary 3.8]. The conclusion follows since, for each  $F \in \mathbf{f}(D)$ , we have:

$$F^\star = F^{\star_a} = F\text{Kr}(D, \star) \cap K = F\text{Kr}(D, \star_{\mathcal{V}}) \cap K,$$

and

$$F\text{Kr}(D, \star_{\mathcal{V}}) \bigcap K = F^{\star_{\mathcal{V}}}$$

by Proposition 2.2(3), because  $\text{Kr}(D, \star_{\mathcal{V}}) = \text{Kr}(D, (\star_{\mathcal{V}})_f)$  and  $(\star_{\mathcal{V}})_f$  is an e.a.b. semistar operation of finite type. □

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $\star$  be a semistar operation on an integral domain  $D$  with quotient field  $K$ . Then  $V$  is a  $\star$ -valuation overring of  $D$  if and only if there exists a valuation overring  $W$  of  $\text{Kr}(D, \star)$  such that  $W \cap K = V$ .*

**Proof:** Since the  $\star$ -valuation overrings of  $D$  coincide with the  $[\star]_a$ -valuation overrings of  $D$  (Propositions 3.2 and 3.3) and since  $\text{Kr}(D, \star) = \text{Kr}(D, [\star]_a)$  (Proposition 8(1)), we can assume that  $\star = [\star]_a$  is an e.a.b. semistar operation of finite type on  $D$ .

It is not difficult to see that if  $V$  is a  $\star$ -valuation overring of  $D$  then the trivial extension  $W := V(X)$  of  $V$  in  $K(X)$  is a valuation overring of  $\text{Kr}(D, \star)$  such that  $W \cap K = V$ . As a matter of fact, let  $f/g \in \text{Kr}(D, \star)$  with

$$f := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k X^k, \quad g := \sum_{h=0}^m b_h X^h \in D[X]$$

and  $g \neq 0$ . Since  $\star$  is e.a.b. and  $V$  is a  $\star$ -valuation overring of  $D$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)V &\subseteq (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)^\star = c(f)^\star \subseteq \\ &\subseteq c(g)^\star = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m)^\star \subseteq (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m)V. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote by  $v$  [respectively,  $w$ ] the valuation of  $K$  [respectively,  $K(X)$ ] associated to  $V$  [respectively,  $W = V(X)$ ], then

$$v(a_k) \geq \inf\{v(b_h) \mid 0 \leq h \leq m, b_h \neq 0\}, \text{ for each } 0 \leq k \leq n \text{ with } a_k \neq 0,$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} w(f) &= \inf\{v(a_k) \mid 0 \leq k \leq n, a_k \neq 0\} \geq \\ &\geq \inf\{v(b_h) \mid 0 \leq h \leq m, b_h \neq 0\} = w(g), \end{aligned}$$

and thus,  $f/g \in W$ . It is well known that  $V(X) \cap K = V$ .

Conversely, assume that  $V = W \cap K$ , where  $W$  is a valuation overring of  $\text{Kr}(D, \star)$ . Let  $H := (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)D \in \mathbf{f}(D)$  and set

$$h(X) := a_0 + a_1 X + \dots + a_n X^n \in K[X].$$

Then, for each  $z \in H^\star$ , we have  $(zD)^\star \subseteq H^\star = c(h)^\star$ , and hence we obtain  $z/h \in \text{Kr}(D, \star) \subseteq W$ . Therefore, if  $w$  is the valuation of  $K(X)$  associated to  $W$ , then



$$0 \leq w(z/h) = w(z) - w(h) \leq w(z) - \inf\{w(a_0), w(a_1), \dots, w(a_n)\},$$

hence

$$z \in (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)(W \cap K) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)V = HV,$$

i.e.  $H^\star \subseteq HV$ . □

**Corollary 3.6** *Let  $\star$  be a semistar operation on an integral domain  $D$ . Then*

$$D^{[\star]} = \bigcap \{V \mid V \text{ is a } \star\text{-valuation overring of } D\}.$$

**Proof:** Obviously,  $D^{[\star]} \subseteq \bigcap \{V \mid V \text{ is a } \star\text{-valuation overring of } D\}$ , because of Proposition 3.2(1). To prove that the equality holds, we note that:

$$D^{[\star]} = D^{[\star]_a} \quad [4, \text{Proposition 4.5(10)}]$$

and that, by Propositions 2.2(1) and 3.4,

$$D^{[\star]_a} = \bigcap \{V \mid V = W \cap K, \ W \text{ is a valuation overring of } \text{Kr}(D, \star)\}.$$

The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.5. □

## References

- [1] D. F. Anderson, E. G. Houston, and M. Zafrullah, *Pseudo-integrality* Canad. Math. Bull. **34** (1991), 15-22.
- [2] H.M. Edwards, *Divisor Theory*. Birkhäuser, 1990.
- [3] M. Fontana and J. Huckaba, *Localizing systems and semistar operations*, in “Non Noetherian Commutative Ring Theory” (S. Chapman and S. Glaz, Eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers 2000, Chapter 8, 169-197.
- [4] M. Fontana and K. A. Loper, *Kronecker function rings: a general approach*, M. Dekker Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math. (in print).
- [5] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*. M. Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [6] F. Halter-Koch, *Generalized integral closures*, in “Factorization in integral domains” (D.D. Anderson, Ed.), M. Dekker (1997), 349-358.
- [7] F. Halter-Koch, *Kronecker function rings and generalized integral closures*, preprint 1999.
- [8] P. Jaffard, *Les Systèmes d’Idéaux*. Dunod, Paris, 1960.
- [9] L. Kronecker, *Grundzüge einer arithmetischen Theorie der algebraischen Grössen*. J. Reine Angew. Math., **92** (1882), 1-122; *Werke* **2**, 237-387.
- [10] R. Matsuda and T. Sugatani, *Semistar operations and generalized integral closures*, II. Math. J. Toyama Univ. **18** (1995), 155-161.
- [11] A. Okabe and R. Matsuda, *Star operations and generalized integral closures*. Bull. Fac. Sci. Ibaraki Univ. Ser. A **24** (1992), 7-13.
- [12] A. Okabe and R. Matsuda, *Semistar operations on integral domains*. Math. J. Toyama Univ. **17** (1994), 1-21.

Marco Fontana  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università degli Studi Roma Tre

Largo San Leonardo Murialdo, 1  
00146 Roma, Italy  
`fontana@mat.uniroma3.it`

K. Alan Loper  
Department of Mathematics  
Ohio State University - Newark  
Newark, Ohio 43055  
U.S.A.  
`lopera@math.ohio-state.edu`