

CHARACTERISING EXTREMAL GIBBS STATES

Daniel Ueltschi
University of Warwick

Universality in Condensed Matter & Statistical Mechanics
Università degli Studi Roma Tre

6 February 2023

Based on joint work with Jakob Björnberg, Jürg Fröhlich

Main object: Set of infinite-volume Gibbs states
of classical & quantum spin systems

Here: spin $\frac{1}{2}$ XYZ model

Domain $\Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda = \bigotimes_{x \in \Lambda} \mathbb{C}^2$

Spin operators $(S_x^i)_{x \in \Lambda}^{i=1,2,3}$ s.t. $[S_x^1, S_y^2] = i \delta_{x,y} S_x^3$
etc...

Hamiltonian: $H_\Lambda = - \sum_{\|x-y\|=1} (\mathcal{J}_1 S_x^1 S_y^1 + \mathcal{J}_2 S_x^2 S_y^2 + \mathcal{J}_3 S_x^3 S_y^3)$

Hamiltonian: $H_\Lambda = - \sum_{\|x-y\|=1} (J_1 S_x^1 S_y^1 + J_2 S_x^2 S_y^2 + J_3 S_x^3 S_y^3)$

Special cases: • $J_1 = J_2 = 0$: Ising model

• $J_3 = 0, J_1 = J_2$: XY model

• $J_1 = J_2 = J_3$: Heisenberg model

Finite-volume Gibbs state:

$$\langle a \rangle_\Lambda = \frac{1}{Z_\Lambda} \text{Tr } a e^{-\beta H_\Lambda}, \quad Z_\Lambda = \text{Tr } e^{-\beta H_\Lambda}$$

Finite-volume Gibbs state :

$$\langle a \rangle_\Lambda = \frac{1}{Z_\Lambda} \text{Tr } a e^{-\beta H_\Lambda}, \quad Z_\Lambda = \text{Tr } e^{-\beta H_\Lambda}$$

Infinite-volume Gibbs states :

Those linear functionals on the space of local observables that satisfy the KMS condition,

Remark: If $\Lambda_n = \{-n, \dots, n\}^d$ and $K_{\Lambda_n}^{(n)} = \sum_{X \subset \Lambda_n} \Phi_X^{(n)}$ is such that $\|\Phi_X^{(n)}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the cluster points of $\frac{1}{Z_{\Lambda_n}} \text{Tr} \cdot e^{-\beta H_{\Lambda_n} + K_{\Lambda_n}^{(n)}}$ satisfy the KMS condition.

Known: The set of Gibbs states is convex.

Further, it is a Choquet simplex: any element is given by a unique convex combination of extremal states,

Also known: The extremal states are ergodic and they have short-range correlations.

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} (\langle a z_x b \rangle - \langle a \rangle \langle z_x b \rangle) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{n^d} \sum_{x \in \{1, \dots, n\}^d} z_x a - \langle a \rangle \right)^2 \right\rangle = 0$$

Difficult to prove: Explicit characterisation of the set of extremal Gibbs states for a given model.

Here: Translation invariant states.

Ferromagnetic couplings, $J_i \geq 0$ for $i=1,2,3$

Let us review what to expect, and some rigorous results.

① Ising regime $J_3 > J_1, J_2$

If $d \geq 2$, one expects that there exists β_c s.t.

- For $\beta \leq \beta_c$: Unique Gibbs state
- For $\beta > \beta_c$: Exactly two extremal Gibbs states:

$$\langle \cdot \rangle_{\pm} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0_{\pm}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{Z_{\Lambda_n}} \text{Tr} \cdot e^{-\beta H_{\Lambda_n} + h \sum_x S_x^3}$$

Fully proved when $J_1 = J_2 = 0$, i.e. classical Ising model

Proof of LRO for β large and $J_1, J_2 \ll J_3$ [Ginibre '69]

$J_1 = J_2 < J_3$ [Kennedy '85]

Exactly 2 tangent functionals to the pressure [Fröhlich, Rey-Bellet, U'01]

Sort of Fourier transform (suggested by Spencer)

$$\boxed{\phi(h)} = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \left\langle e^{i \frac{h}{|\Lambda|} \sum_x S_x^3} \right\rangle_{\beta H_\Lambda} \stackrel{(?)}{=} \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \lim_{\Lambda' \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \left\langle e^{i \frac{h}{|\Lambda|} \sum_x S_x^3} \right\rangle_{\beta H_{\Lambda'}}$$

$$= \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \left\langle e^{i \frac{h}{|\Lambda|} \sum_x S_x^3} \right\rangle = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\alpha=\pm} \frac{1}{2} \left\langle e^{i \frac{h}{|\Lambda|} \sum_x S_x^3} \right\rangle_\alpha$$

$$= \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\alpha=\pm} \frac{1}{2} e^{i \frac{h}{|\Lambda|} \sum_x S_x^3} \Big|_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha=\pm} \frac{1}{2} e^{i h \langle S_0^3 \rangle_\alpha}$$

$$= \cos \left(h \underbrace{\langle S_0^3 \rangle_+}_{\equiv m(\beta)} \right) = \boxed{\cos(h m(\beta))}$$

Question: If we know $\phi(h)$, what can we infer?

② XY regime $J_1 = J_2 > J_3$

$d=2$: All Gibbs states respect the continuous symmetry,
 $\forall \beta$ [Mermin, Wagner '66; Dobrushin, Shlosman '78;
 Fröhlich, Pfister '81]

Does this imply that the Gibbs state is unique?

$d \geq 3$: One expects that these are extremal Gibbs states:
 For $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{S}^1$:

$$\langle \cdot \rangle_{\vec{a}} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta H_\Lambda - h \sum_{x \in \Lambda} (a_1 S_x^1 + a_2 S_x^2)}$$

Distinct states when $\beta > \beta_c$

LRO proved when $J_3 = 0$, β large [Dyson, Lieb, Simon '78]

The sort of Fourier transform

10/14

$$\boxed{\phi(h)} = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle e^{i \frac{h}{|\lambda|} \sum_x S_x^1} \rangle_{\beta H_\lambda} \stackrel{(?)}{=} \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \lim_{\lambda' \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle e^{i \frac{h}{|\lambda|} \sum_x S_x^1} \rangle_{\beta H_{\lambda'}}$$

$$= \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle e^{i \frac{h}{|\lambda|} \sum_x S_x^1} \rangle = \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\mathcal{S}^1} \langle e^{i \frac{h}{|\lambda|} \sum_x S_x^1} \rangle_{\vec{a}} d\vec{a}$$

$$= \lim_{\lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{\mathcal{S}^1} e^{\langle i \frac{h}{|\lambda|} \sum_x S_x^1 \rangle_{\vec{a}}} d\vec{a} = \int_{\mathcal{S}^1} e^{i h \langle S_0^1 \rangle_{\vec{a}}} d\vec{a}$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{S}^1} e^{i h \langle \vec{a} \cdot \vec{S}_0 \rangle_{\vec{e}_3}} d\vec{a} = \int_{\mathcal{S}^1} e^{i h a_3 \langle S_0^3 \rangle_{\vec{e}_3}} d\vec{a}$$

$\equiv m(\beta)$

$$\boxed{= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{(k!)^2} (i h m(\beta))^{2k}}$$

(a Bessel function)

③ Heisenberg regime $J_1 = J_2 = J_3$

$d = 2$: Unique Gibbs state $\forall \beta$?

$d \geq 3$: One expects that these are extremal Gibbs states:

For $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{S}^2$:

$$\langle \cdot \rangle_{\vec{a}} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \langle \cdot \rangle_{\beta H_\Lambda - h \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{S}_x}$$

Distinct states when $\beta > \beta_c$

The sort of Fourier transform: One finds

$$\boxed{\phi(h)} = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \left\langle e^{i \frac{h}{|\Lambda|} \sum_x S_x^1} \right\rangle_{\beta H_\Lambda}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{i h a_3 \langle S_0^3 \rangle_{\vec{a}_3}} d\vec{a} = \frac{\sin(h m(\beta))}{h m(\beta)}$$

$\uparrow$
 $\equiv m(\beta)$

We found:

12/14

$$\text{Ising: } \phi(h) = \cos(h m(\beta))$$

$$\text{XY: } \phi(h) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{(k!)^2} (i h m(\beta))^{2k}$$

$$\text{Heisenberg: } \phi(h) = \frac{\sin(h m(\beta))}{h m(\beta)}$$

$m(\beta)$ depends on microscopic details (dimension, strength of interactions, ...)

The function $\phi(h)$ depends otherwise on nature of symmetry breaking

It can be calculated on complete graphs [Björnberg, Fröhlich, U'20]

Connection with loop models

Some quantum (& classical) spin systems can be represented by loop models [Tóth '93; Aizenman, Nachtergaele '94; U '13]

The sort of Fourier transform can be expressed as expectation of lengths of loops.

The latter have Poisson-Dirichlet distribution

[Goldschmidt, U, Windridge '11] for the conjecture;

[Schramm '05; Berestycki, Kozma '15] for rigorous results on the complete graph.

→ Alternate way to calculate $\Phi(h)$.

Conclusion

- Still many open questions about set of Gibbs states in such models as XYZ spin systems.
- Spencer's Fourier transform could help!
Convenient on complete graph & with loop models
- What exactly do we learn from knowing this function?

GRAZIE