## COMPOSITE POSITIVE INTEGERS WITH AN AVERAGE PRIME FACTOR

## FLORIAN LUCA AND FRANCESCO PAPPALARDI

ABSTRACT. We study the distribution of the positive integers n which are composite and whose average prime divisor is an integer and a prime divisor of n.

Let p(n) denote the average prime divisor of an integer n. That is,

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{\omega(n)} \sum_{\substack{p \text{ prime} \\ p|n}} p,$$

where  $\omega(n)$  denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of n.

It is clear that if n is a prime power, then  $p(n) \mid n$ . In this paper we consider the set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{n : \omega(n) > 1, \ p(n) \in \mathbb{N}, p(n) \mid n \text{ and } p(n) \text{ is prime} \}.$$

It is obvious that  $n \in \mathcal{A}$  if and only if the square-free part of n is in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The first few square-free elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are: 105, 231, 627, 897, 935, 1365, 1581, 1729, 2465, 2967, 4123, 4301, 4715, 5313, 5487, 6045, 7293, 7685, 7881, 7917, 9717, 10707, 10965, 11339, 12597, 14637, 14993, 16377, 16445, 17353, 18753, 20213, 20757, 20915, 21045, 23779, 25327, 26331, 26765, 26961, 28101, 28497, 29341, 29607.

It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains only odd numbers. Here, we prove the following result: **Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}(x) := \mathcal{A} \cap [1, x]$ . The estimates

$$\frac{x}{\exp\left((2+o(1))\sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)} \le \#\mathcal{A}(x) \le \frac{x}{\exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+o(1)\right)\sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right)}$$

hold as  $x \to \infty$ .

Since the counting function of the prime powers n < x which are not primes is  $O(\sqrt{x}/\log x)$ , it follows that the same result is valid if we enlarge  $\mathcal{A}$  to be the set of all composite integers n whose average prime factor is an integer and is a prime factor of n.

Our theorem complements the results from [1], where several results concerning the function p(n) were obtained, such as the uniform distribution of the fractional parts  $\{p(n)\}$  in the interval [0, 1) when n ranges in the set of all positive integers, and the order of magnitude of the counting function of the set of positive integers n such that p(n) is an integer.

Throughout, we use the Vinogradov symbols  $\gg$  and  $\ll$  and the Landau symbols O and o with their regular meanings. We use log for the natural logarithm and  $\lfloor \rfloor$  for the 'integer part' function.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 11N37 (primary), 11N60, 11P32 (secondary).

*Proof of the upper-bound.* Let us consider the following sets:

$$\mathcal{A}_1(x) = \left\{ n \le x \mid P(n) < y \right\},\,$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_2(x) = \left\{ n \le x \mid n \notin \mathcal{A}_1(x), P(n)^2 \mid n \right\},\$$

where y is a parameter which depends on x to be chosen later and which satisfies  $\exp((\log \log x)^2) \le y \le x$ , and P(n) denotes the largest prime factor of n.

From standard estimates for smooth numbers [2], we know that if we set  $u = \log x / \log y$ , then

(1) 
$$#\mathcal{A}_1(x) \ll \frac{x}{\exp((1+o(1))u\log u)} \qquad (x \to \infty)$$

in our range for y versus x, while

(2) 
$$#\mathcal{A}_2(x) \le \sum_{\substack{p \text{ prime}\\p\ge y}} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{p^2} \right\rfloor \le x \sum_{n\ge y} \frac{1}{n^2} \ll \frac{x}{y}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_3(x) = \mathcal{A}(x) \setminus (\mathcal{A}_1(x) \cup \mathcal{A}_2(x))$ . If  $n \in \mathcal{A}_3(x)$ , then we can write n = P(n)m, where m > 1 (because  $\omega(n) > 1$ ). Furthermore, since  $n \notin \mathcal{A}_2(x)$ ,  $P(n) \nmid m$ , and p(n) < P(n) since the average of at least 2 distinct integers is less than the maximum of the integers. Thus, the condition that p(n) is prime and divides n implies that  $p(n) \mid m$ , and so we can write

$$p(n) = \frac{P(n) + \sum_{q|m} q}{\omega(m) + 1},$$

which, solving for P(n), gives

$$P(n) = p(n)(\omega(m) + 1) - \sum_{q|m} q.$$

Hence, P(n) is uniquely determined by p(n) and by m. But since p(n) is a prime divisor of m, it follows that for any fixed value of m, there are at most  $\omega(m)$  possible values of P(n). Furthermore, note that for the positive integers n under consideration, we have that  $P(n) \ge y$ , therefore  $m \le x/y$ , so

(3) 
$$\#\mathcal{A}_3(x) \le \sum_{m \le x/y} \omega(m) \ll \frac{x \log \log x}{y},$$

where we used the well known fact that

$$\sum_{t \le x} \omega(t) \ll \log \log x.$$

From estimates for (1), (2) and (3), we immediately deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{A}(x) &\leq & \#\mathcal{A}_1(x) + \#\mathcal{A}_2(x) + \#\mathcal{A}_3(x) \\ &\ll & \frac{x\log\log x}{y} + \frac{x}{\exp((1+o(1))u\log u)} \end{aligned}$$

To minimize the right hand side above we choose  $y = \exp(u \log u)$ , which amounts to

$$\log^2 y = \log x \log \left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right).$$

Thus, we get that  $y = (1 + o(1))\sqrt{\log x \log \log x}$  as  $x \to \infty$ , and with this choice of y versus x we obtain

$$#\mathcal{A}(x) \ll \frac{x}{\exp\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + o(1)\right)\sqrt{\log x \log \log x}\right)}$$

as  $x \to \infty$ .

Proof of the lower-bound. Let y be a parameter depending on x (different from the one from the proof of the upper bound) and k an even positive integer depending also on x, both tending to infinity with x which we will choose later. For the moment we assume that k > 5 and  $y > k^4$ . Suppose that  $P, Q, p_1, \ldots, p_k$  are prime numbers which lie in the respective intervals:

$$P \in (y/2, y], \qquad Q \in (y/4, y/2], \qquad \text{and} \ p_1, \ \dots, \ p_k \in (y/2k^2, y/k^2].$$

It is clear that all the above primes are distinct and odd. Furthermore, the integer

$$N = (k+4)Q - P - (p_1 + \dots + p_k)$$

is odd, positive, and lies in the interval (ky/4, ky]. By Vinogradov's Three Primes Theorem [3], we have that the equation

$$N = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$$

admits  $\gg N^2/\log^3 N$  solution in primes  $q_1 < q_2 < q_3$  as  $N \to \infty$ . It is also clear that, at the cost of reducing the constant implied by the above  $\gg$ , we can assume that  $q_1 > c_1 N$ , where  $c_1$  is some absolute positive constant, and that the three primes above are distinct. Note that with these choices,  $\min\{q_1, q_2, q_3\} > c_1 k y/4 > k^3 y/4 > y$ , therefore the primes  $q_1, q_2$  and  $q_3$  are different from  $P, Q, p_1, \ldots, p_k$ .

Consider the integer

$$n = p_1 \cdots p_k \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot q_3 \cdot P \cdot Q.$$

We claim the  $n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Indeed,  $\omega(n) = k + 5$ , and

$$\frac{1}{k+5}(p_1 + \dots + p_k + q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + P + Q) = Q$$

is a prime factor of n. We are therefore only left with the task of counting the number integers up to a fixed upper bound x which can be constructed by the above method with suitable choices of y and k versus x.

For given y and k, the number of choices for P, Q and  $(p_1, \ldots, p_k)$  are respectively:

$$\pi(y) - \pi(y/2), \quad \pi(y/2) - \pi(y/4) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \pi(y/k^2) - \pi(y/2k^2) \\ k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the number of possible n's, when  $k^4 < y$  and k is large, is

(4) 
$$\gg \frac{y}{2\log y} \cdot \frac{y}{4\log y} \cdot \left(\frac{y}{6k^3\log(y/k^2)}\right)^k \cdot \frac{c_1(ky/4)^2}{(\log ky)^3},$$

where in the above estimates we used the Prime Number Theorem and the fact that if a > 2b, then

$$\binom{a}{b} \gg \left(\frac{a-b}{b}\right)^b > \left(\frac{a}{2b}\right)^b$$

with the choices  $a = \pi(y/k^2) - \pi(y/2k^2) > y/(3k^2 \log(y/k^2)) > 2k$  and b = k (the first estimate above holds for large k by the Prime Number Theorem, while the second holds for large k by the fact that  $y > k^4$ ).

A further calculation shows that the expression appearing at (4) above is

(5) 
$$\gg \frac{y^{k+4}}{4^k k^{3k-3} (\log y)^{k+5}}$$

We now need to find a lower bound on the above expression under the constraint that

(6) 
$$n = p_1 \cdots p_k \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot q_3 \cdot P \cdot Q \le \left(\frac{y}{k^2}\right)^k (ky)^3 y^2 := x.$$

We will do this by choosing  $k = \lfloor c\sqrt{\log x/\log \log x} \rfloor + \nu$ , where  $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$  is such that k even and c is a constant to be determined later. Then, by estimate (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{A}(x) &\geq \frac{x}{\exp\left(k\log 4k + \log y + (k+5)\log\log y\right)} \\ &= x\exp\left(-c/2\sqrt{\log x\log\log x} - \log y \ c\sqrt{\log x/\log\log x}\log\log y\right) \\ &\quad -O(k+\log\log y))\,. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate (6) together with the choice of k leads to the conclusion that  $\log y = c^{-1}(1+o(1))\sqrt{\log x \log \log x}$  as  $x \to \infty$ , which, in turn, leads to the lower-bound

$$#\mathcal{A}(x) \gg \frac{x}{\exp\left((c+c^{-1}+o(1))\sqrt{\log x \log \log x})\right)}.$$

The minimum of the function  $c \mapsto c + c^{-1}$  is attained at c = 1. Hence, choosing c = 1, we get the lower bound of the statement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS This work was done in February of 2006, while both authors were in residence at the Centre de Recherche Mathemátique of the Université de Montréal for the thematic year *Analysis and Number Theory*. The authors thank the organizers for the opportunity of participating in this program.

## References

- W. D. BANKS, M. Z. GARAEV, F. LUCA AND I. E. SHPARLINSKI, Uniform distribution of the fractional part of the average prime factor, Forum Mathematicum. 17 (2005), 885–903.
- [2] A. HILDEBRAND, On the number of positive integers  $\leq x$  and free of prime factors > y, J. Number Theory. **22** (1986), 289–307.
- [3] I. M. VINOGRADOV, Representation of an odd number as a sum of three primes, Comptes Rendues (Doklady) de l'Academie des Sciences de l'USSR. 15 (1937), 191–294.

(F. Luca) Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional, Autónoma de México, C.P. 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, México

E-mail address: fluca@matmor.unam.mx

(F. Pappalardi) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ ROMA TRE, LARGO S. L. MURI-ALDO, 1, ROMA, 00146, ITALY

E-mail address: pappa@mat.uniroma3.it